

Syntax Directed Type Checking for Pure Type Systems

Markus Klinik

Radboud University Nijmegen

Type Theory and Proof Assistants 2012

Outline

What?

What is Type Checking?

What Does “Syntax Directed” Mean?

What are PTSs?

Syntax Directed Type Checking for PTSs

The Plan

The Problem

The Solution

What is Type Checking?

- ▶ Type Checking: Given Γ , M and A , decide whether the judgement $\Gamma \vdash M : A$ is derivable
- ▶ Type Synthesis: Given Γ and M , compute an A such that $\Gamma \vdash M : A$
- ▶ We need a type system
 - ▶ Syntax
 - ▶ Typing rules

What Does “Syntax Directed” Mean?

$$M ::= x \mid MN \mid \lambda x.M$$

$$FV(x) = \{x\}$$

$$FV(MN) = FV(M) \cup FV(N)$$

$$FV(\lambda x.M) = FV(M) \setminus \{x\}$$

A Syntax Directed Function

```
data Term
  = Var Char
  | App Term Term
  | Abs Char Term

freeVars :: Term -> [Char]
freeVars (Var x)    = [x]
freeVars (App m n) = freeVars m `union` freeVars n
freeVars (Abs x m) = freeVars m \\ [x]
```

What are PTSs?

- ▶ Generalized type systems
 - ▶ Identical syntax
 - ▶ Parametrized typing rules
- ▶ PTSs: Berardi (1988), Terlouw (1989)
- ▶ λ -cube: Barendregt (1991)
- ▶ λ -zoo \rightarrow λ -cube \rightarrow PTSs

The Ingredients to Generalized Typing

- ▶ Introduce *dependent product types*
- ▶ One syntactic category for terms and types
- ▶ Introduce *sorts*

The λ -Cube: Ingredients

$M ::= x \mid \star \mid \square \mid MM \mid \lambda x : M . M \mid \Pi x : M . M$

$$\frac{M : (\Pi x : \sigma . \tau(x)) \quad N : \sigma}{MN : \tau(N)}$$

$$\frac{\text{zeros} : (\Pi n : \text{nat} . \text{vec } n) \quad m : \text{nat}}{\text{zeros } m : \text{vec } m}$$

$$\frac{\lambda n : \text{nat} . Sn : (\Pi n : \text{nat} . \text{nat}) \quad m : \text{nat}}{(\lambda n : \text{nat} . Sn)m : \text{nat}}$$

λ -Cube Typing Rules

$$\text{(axiom)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \star : \square}$$

$$\text{(start)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma, x:A \vdash x:A}$$

$$\text{(weakening)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B \quad \Gamma \vdash A : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma, x:A \vdash M : B}$$

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : (\Pi x:A. B) \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : [N/x]B}$$

$$\text{(abstraction)} \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash M : B \quad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:A. M) : (\Pi x:A. B)}$$

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B : s_2 \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s_2}$$

$$\text{(conversion)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad A =_{\beta} B \quad \Gamma \vdash B : s}{\Gamma \vdash M : B}$$

λ -Cube Rules: Axiom, Start

$$\text{(axiom)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \star : \square}$$

$$\text{(start)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}$$

λ -Cube Rules: Weakening

$$\text{(start)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}$$

$$\text{(weakening)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B \quad \Gamma \vdash A : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}$$

λ -Cube Rules: Application, Abstraction

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : (\Pi x : A . B) \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : [N/x]B}$$

$$\text{(abstraction)} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B \quad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A . B) : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A . M) : (\Pi x : A . B)}$$

λ -Cube Rules: Product

$$\text{(abstraction)} \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash M:B \quad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B):s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:A. M):(\Pi x:A. B)}$$

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A:s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B:s_2 \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B):s_2}$$

λ -Cube Rules: Conversion

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : (\Pi x : A . B) \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : [N/x]B}$$

$$\text{(conversion)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad A =_{\beta} B \quad \Gamma \vdash B : s}{\Gamma \vdash M : B}$$

λ -Cube Product Rule Again

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B : s_2 \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s_2}$$

- | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| (\star, \star) | terms depending on terms | $\lambda n : \text{nat}. Sn$ |
| (\square, \star) | terms depending on types | $\lambda \alpha : \star. \lambda x : \alpha. x$ |
| (\star, \square) | types depending on terms | $\Pi n : \text{nat}. \text{vec } n$ |
| (\square, \square) | types depending on types | $\Pi \alpha : \star. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ |

λ -Cube Wrap Up

A type system in the λ -cube consists of:

- ▶ The set of pseudoterms
- ▶ The set of sorts $\mathcal{S} = \{\star, \square\}$
- ▶ A set $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ of *product rules*
- ▶ The typing rules

And Now For Something Slightly Different

A pure type system consists of:

- ▶ The set of pseudoterms
- ▶ An arbitrary set \mathcal{S} of sorts
- ▶ A set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ of axioms
- ▶ A set $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ of product rules
- ▶ The typing rules

$$\text{(axiom)} \frac{\mathcal{A}(s_1, s_2)}{\Gamma \vdash s_1 : s_2}$$

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : s_2 \quad \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A. B) : s_3}$$

The Plan

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B : s_2 \quad \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s_3}$$

```
data Term
```

```
  = Prod Char Term Term
```

```
  ...
```

```
typeSynthesis :: Env -> Term -> Maybe Term
```

```
typeSynthesis gamma (Prod x A B) =
```

```
  let s1 = typeSynthesis gamma A
```

```
      s2 = typeSynthesis (gamma ++ [(x,A)]) B
```

```
  in
```

```
    if (rel s1 s2 s3) then s3 else Nothing
```

The Problem

$$\text{(conversion)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M:A \quad A =_{\beta} B \quad \Gamma \vdash B:s}{\Gamma \vdash M:B}$$

...
typeSynthesis gamma M = ...

...
typeSynthesis gamma (App M N) = ...

typeSynthesis gamma (Abs x A B) = ...

...
typeSynthesis gamma M = ...

The Concern

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : s_2 \quad \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A. B) : s_3}$$

- ▶ Derivations are trees
- ▶ Context correctness is checked on every branch

The Solution

- ▶ Product rule and axioms might be ambiguous
 - ▶ Require \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{R} to be *functional* i.e. right-unique
 - ▶ $\mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ becomes
$$s_3 = \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2) :: \text{Maybe Term}$$
- ▶ Computations on type level
 - ▶ Require strong normalization
- ▶ Contexts are checked repeatedly
 - ▶ Keep track of context correctness
- ▶ Weakening and Conversion rules not syntax directed
 - ▶ Absorb into other rules

PTS Rules Again

$$\text{(axiom)} \frac{\mathcal{A}(s_1, s_2)}{\Gamma \vdash s_1 : s_2}$$

$$\text{(start)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}$$

$$\text{(weakening)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B \quad \Gamma \vdash A : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}$$

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : s_2 \quad \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A. B) : s_3}$$

$$\text{(abstraction)} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B \quad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A. B) : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. M) : (\Pi x : A. B)}$$

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : (\Pi x : A. B) \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : [N/x]B}$$

$$\text{(conversion)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad A =_{\beta} B \quad \Gamma \vdash B : s}{\Gamma \vdash M : B}$$

Maintaining Context Validity

$$\text{(valid-nil)} \frac{}{\vdash_{vc} \emptyset}$$

$$\text{(valid-cons)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} A:s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\vdash_{vc} \Gamma, x:A}$$

$$\text{(axiom)} \frac{\vdash_{vc} \Gamma \quad \mathcal{A}(s_1, s_2)}{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} s_1 : s_2}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{\vdash_{vc} \Gamma \quad x:A \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} x:A}$$

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} A:s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash_{vt} B:s_2 \quad \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} (\Pi x:A. B):s_3}$$

$$\text{(abstraction)} \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash_{vt} M:B \quad \Gamma \vdash_{vt} (\Pi x:A. B):s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} (\lambda x:A. M):(\Pi x:A. B)}$$

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} M:(\Pi x:A. B) \quad \Gamma \vdash_{vt} N:A}{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} MN:[N/x]B}$$

$$\text{(conversion)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} M:A \quad A =_{\beta} B \quad \Gamma \vdash_{vt} B:s}{\Gamma \vdash_{vt} M:B}$$

Maintaining Local Context Validity

$$\text{(valid-nil)} \frac{}{\vdash_{lvc} \emptyset}$$

$$\text{(valid-cons)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} A:s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\vdash_{lvc} \Gamma, x:A}$$

$$\text{(axiom)} \frac{\mathcal{A}(s_1, s_2)}{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} s_1 : s_2}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{x:A \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} x:A}$$

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} A:s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash_{lvt} B:s_2 \quad \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} (\Pi x:A. B):s_3}$$

$$\text{(abstraction)} \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash_{lvt} M:B \quad \Gamma \vdash_{lvt} (\Pi x:A. B):s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} (\lambda x:A. M):(\Pi x:A. B)}$$

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} M:(\Pi x:A. B) \quad \Gamma \vdash_{lvt} N:A}{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} MN:[N/x]B}$$

$$\text{(conversion)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} M:A \quad A =_{\beta} B \quad \Gamma \vdash_{lvt} B:s}{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} M:B}$$

The Conversion Rule

$$\text{(conversion)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M:A \quad A =_{\beta} B \quad \Gamma \vdash B:s}{\Gamma \vdash M:B}$$

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} M:(\Pi x:A. B) \quad \Gamma \vdash_{lvt} N:A}{\Gamma \vdash_{lvt} MN:[N/x]B}$$

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M:\rightarrow \Pi x:A. B \quad \Gamma \vdash N:A' \quad A =_{\beta} A'}{\Gamma \vdash MN:[N/x]B}$$

Notation: We write $\Gamma \vdash M:\rightarrow A$ for $(\Gamma \vdash M:X \wedge X \rightarrow A)$

Weak head normal form: top level is not a redex

Finally Syntax Directed

$$\text{(valid-nil)} \frac{}{\vdash \emptyset}$$

$$\text{(valid-cons)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \rightarrow s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, x : A}$$

$$\text{(axiom)} \frac{\mathcal{A}(s_1, s_2)}{\Gamma \vdash s_1 : s_2}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{x : A \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : A}$$

$$\text{(product)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \rightarrow s_1 \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : \rightarrow s_2 \quad \mathcal{R}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A. B) : s_3}$$

$$\text{(abstraction)} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B \quad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A. B) : s \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. M) : (\Pi x : A. B)}$$

$$\text{(application)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \rightarrow \Pi x : A. B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A' \quad A =_{\beta} A'}{\Gamma \vdash MN : [N/x]B}$$

Questions