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## Course Organisation

- Lecturer: Peter Lucas
- Where I am located: Room 123, Snellius
- Structure of course:
- Lectures
- Seminar: group research, individual scientific paper, and discussions
- Practical assignment: develop your own Bayesian network; experiment with learning (structure and classifiers)
- Assessment:
- Exam: 35\%; seminar: 35\% (or Exam only: 60\%)
- Practical assignment 1 and 2: 15\% each (or 20\% each when no seminar)
- Course information: www.cs.ru.n//~peter//BN


## Course Aims

- Develop complete understanding of basic probability theory (theory)
- Knowledge and understanding of differences and similarities between various probabilistic graphical models (theory)
- Know how to build Bayesian networks from expert knowledge (theory and practice)
- Being familiar with basic inference algorithms (theory and practice)
- Understand the basic issues of learning Bayesian networks from data (theory and practice)
- Be familiar with typical applications (practice)
- Critical appraisal of a specialised topic (theory, possibly practice)


## Literature

- Compulsory:
- K.B. Korb and A.E. Nicholson, Bayesian Artificial Intelligence, Chapman \& Hall, Boca Raton, 2004 or 2010
- Background:
- R.G. Cowell, A.P. Dawid, S.L. Lauritzen and D.J. Spiegelhalter, Probabilistic Networks and Expert Systems, Springer, New York, 1999
- F.V. Jensen and T. Nielsen, Bayesian Networks and Decision Graphs, Springer, New York, 2007
- D. Koller and N. Friedman, Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2009
- Various research papers on the mentioned topics


## Uncertainty in Daily Life

- Empirical evidence:
"If symptoms of fever, shortness of breath (dyspnoea), and coughing are present, and the patient has recently visited China, then the patient has probably SARS"

- Subjective belief:
"The Rutte government will resign soon (and after the elections will be likely replaced by a VVD, D66, GL, and PvdA government)"
- Temporal dimension:
"There is more than $60 \%$ chance that the Dutch economy will fully recover in the next two years"


## Uncertainty Representation

- Methods for dealing with uncertainty are not new:
- 17th century: Fermat, Pascal, Huygens, Leibniz, Bernoulli
- 18th century: Laplace, De Moivre, Bayes
- 19th century: Gauss, Boole
- Most important research question in early AI (1970-1987):
- How to incorporate uncertainty reasoning in logical deduction?
- Again an important research question in modern AI (e.g. Markov logic)


## Early AI Methods of Uncertainty

- Rule-based uncertainty representation:
(fever $\wedge$ dyspnoea) $\Rightarrow$ SARS $_{\mathrm{CF}=0.4}$
- Uncertainty calculus (certainty-factor (CF) model, subjective Bayesian method):
- $\mathrm{CF}($ fever,$B)=0.6 ; \mathrm{CF}$ (dyspnoea, $B)=1$ ( $B$ is background knowledge)
- Combination functions:

CF (SARS, $\{$ fever, dyspnoea $\} \cup B$ )
$=0.4 \cdot \max \{0, \min \{\mathrm{CF}($ fever,$B), \mathrm{CF}($ dyspnoea,$B)\}\}$
$=0.4 \cdot \max \{0, \min \{0.6,1\}\}=0.24$

## However ...

$$
(\text { fever } \wedge d y s p n o e a) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SARS}_{\mathrm{CF}=0.4}
$$

- How likely is the occurrence of fever or dyspnoea given that the patient has SARS?
- How likely is the occurrence of fever or dyspnoea in the absence of SARS?
- How likely is the presence of SARS when just fever is present?
- How likely is no SARS when just fever is present?


## Bayesian Networks

## $P(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{FL}, \mathrm{RS}, \mathrm{DY}, \mathrm{FE}, \mathrm{TEMP})$

$$
P(\mathrm{FL}=y)=0.1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\mathrm{FE}=y \mid \mathrm{FL}=y, \mathrm{RS}=y)=0.95 \\
& P(\mathrm{FE}=y \mid \mathrm{FL}=n, \mathrm{RS}=y)=0.80 \\
& P(\mathrm{FE}=y \mid \mathrm{FL}=y, \mathrm{RS}=n)=0.88 \\
& P(\mathrm{FE}=y \mid \mathrm{FL}=n, \mathrm{RS}=n)=0.001
\end{aligned}
$$



## Reasoning: Evidence Propagation

- Nothing known:

- Temperature $>37.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :



## Reasoning: Evidence Propagation

- Temperature $>37.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

- I just returned from China:



## Independence Representation in Graphs

The set of variables $X$ is conditionally independent of the set $Z$ given the set $Y$, notation $X \Perp Z \mid Y$, iff

$$
P(X \mid Y, Z)=P(X \mid Y)
$$

Meaning:
"If we know $Y$ then $Z$ does not have any (extra) effect on our knowledge concerning $X$ (and thus can be omitted)"

## Example

If we know that John has fever, then also knowing that he has a high body temperature has no effect on our knowledge about flu

## Find the Independences



## Examples:

- FLU $\Perp$ VisitToChina $\mid \varnothing$
- FLU $\Perp$ SARS $\mid \varnothing$
- FLU $\not \Perp$ SARS \| FEVER, also FLU $\not \Perp$ SARS \| TEMP
- SARS $\Perp$ TEMP | FEVER
- VisitToChina $\Perp$ DYSPNOEA|SARS


## Probabilistic Reasoning

- Interested in conditional probability distributions:

$$
P\left(X_{W} \mid \mathcal{E}\right)=P^{\mathcal{E}}\left(X_{W}\right)
$$

with $W$ set of vertices, for (possibly empty) evidence $\mathcal{E}$ (instantiated variables)

Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\mathrm{FLU}=\text { yes } \mid \mathrm{TEMP}<37.5) \\
P(\mathrm{FLU}=y e s, \text { VisitToAsia }=\text { yes } \mid \mathrm{TEMP}<37.5)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Tendency to focus on conditional probability distributions of single variables


## Probabilistic Reasoning (cont)

- Joint probability distribution $P(X)$ :
$P(X)=P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$
- marginalisation:

$$
P(Y)=\sum_{X \backslash Y} P(X)=\sum_{X \backslash Y} \prod_{v \in V} P\left(X_{v} \mid X_{\pi(v)}\right)
$$

- conditional probabilities and Bayes' rule:

$$
P(Y, Z \mid X)=\frac{P(X \mid Y, Z) P(Y, Z)}{P(X)}
$$

- Many efficient Bayesian reasoning algorithms exist


## Naive Probabilistic Reasoning: Evidence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1} \begin{array}{l}
P\left(x_{4} \mid x_{3}\right)=0.4 \\
P\left(x_{4} \mid \neg x_{3}\right)=0.1 \\
P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0.3 \\
P\left(x_{3} \mid \neg x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0.5 \\
P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, \neg x_{2}\right)=0.7 \\
P\left(x_{3} \mid \neg x_{1}, \neg x_{2}\right)=0.9 \\
P\left(x_{1}\right)=0.6 \\
P\left(x_{2}\right)=0.2
\end{array} \\
& P^{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_{2}\right)=P\left(x_{2} \mid x_{4}\right)=\frac{P\left(x_{4} \mid x_{2}\right) P\left(x_{2}\right)}{P\left(x_{4}\right)} \text { (Bayes' rule) } \\
& =\frac{\sum_{X_{3}} P\left(x_{4} \mid X_{3}\right) \sum_{X_{1}} P\left(X_{3} \mid X_{1}, x_{2}\right) P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2}\right)}{\sum_{X_{3}} P\left(x_{4} \mid X_{3}\right) \sum_{X_{1}, X_{2}} P\left(X_{3} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right) P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{2}\right)} \approx 0.14
\end{aligned}
$$

## Judea Pearl's Algorithm



- Object-oriented approach: vertices are objects, which have local information and carry out local computations
- Updating of probability distribution by message passing: arcs are communication channels


## Data Fusion Lemma



Data fusion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{\mathcal{E}}\left(X_{v_{i}}\right) & =P\left(X_{v_{i}} \mid \mathcal{E}\right) \\
& =\alpha \cdot \text { causal info for } X_{v_{i}} \cdot \text { diagnostic info for } X_{v_{i}} \\
& =\alpha \cdot \pi\left(v_{i}\right) \cdot \lambda\left(v_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

- $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_{v_{i}}^{+} \cup \mathcal{E}_{v_{i}}^{-}$: evidence
- $\alpha$ : normalisation constant


## Problem Solving

Bayesian networks are declarative, i.e.:

- mathematical basis
- problem to be solved determined by (1) entered evidence $\mathcal{E}$ (may include decisions); (2) given hypothesis $H: P(H \mid \mathcal{E})$ (cf. $\mathrm{KB} \wedge H \vDash \mathcal{E}$ )
Examples:
- Description of populations
- Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) Assignment for classification and diagnosis: $D=\arg \max _{H} P(H \mid \mathcal{E})$
- Temporal reasoning, prediction, what-if scenarios
- Decision-making based on decision theory $\operatorname{MEU}(D \mid \mathcal{E})=\max _{d \in D} \sum_{x} u(x) P(x \mid d, \mathcal{E})$


## Decision Networks



## Markov Networks

- Structure of a joint probability distribution $P$ can also be described by undirected graphs (instead of directed graphs as in Bayesian networks)

- Together with $P(V)=P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{7}\right)$ : Markov network
- Marginalisation (example):

$$
P\left(\neg x_{2}\right)=\sum_{X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{7}} P\left(X_{1}, \neg x_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{7}\right)
$$

## Manual Construction

## Qualitative modelling:



People become colonised by bacteria when entering a hospital, which may give rise to infection

## Bayesian-network Modelling

## Qualitative

 causal modellingCause $\rightarrow$ Effect


Quantitative
interaction modelling

$$
P\left(\operatorname{Inf} \mid \mathrm{BR}_{A}, \mathrm{BR}_{B}, \mathrm{BR}_{C}\right)
$$

| Inf | $\mathrm{BR}_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t$ |  |  |  | $f$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{BR}_{B}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{BR}_{B}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} f \\ \mathrm{BR}_{C} \end{gathered}$ |  | $t$ |  | $f$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $t$ | $f$ |  |  | $t$ | $f$ | $t$ | $f$ | $t$ | $f$ |
| $t$ | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| $f$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

## Example BN: non-Hodgkin Lymphoma



$$
2
$$

## Bayesian Network Learning

Bayesian network $\mathcal{B}=(G, P)$, with

- digraph $G=(V(G), A(G))$, and
- probability distribution $P$



## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Problems:

- for many BNs too many probabilities have to be assessed
- complex BNs do not necessarily yield better classifiers
- complex BNs may yield better estimates of a probability distribution


## Solution:

- use simple probabilistic models for classification:
- naive (independent) form BN
- Tree-Augmented Bayesian Network (TAN)
- Forest-Augmented Bayesian Network (FAN)
- use background knowledge and clever heuristics


## Naive (independent) form $\mathbf{B N}$



- $C$ is a class variable
- The evidence variables $E_{i}$ in the evidence $\mathcal{E} \subseteq\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}\right\}$ are conditionally independent given the class variable $C$

This yields: $P(C \mid \mathcal{E})=\frac{P(\mathcal{E} \mid C) P(C)}{P(\mathcal{E})}=\frac{\prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}} P(E \mid C) P(C)}{\sum_{C} \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}} P(E \mid C) P(C)}$
Classifier: $c_{\text {max }}=\arg \max _{C} P(C \mid \mathcal{E})$

## Learning Structure from Data

Given the following dataset $D$ :

| Student | Gender | IQ | High Mark for Maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | male | low | no |
| 2 | female | average | yes |
| 3 | male | high | yes |
| 4 | female | high | yes |

and the following Bayesian networks:


Which one is the best?

## Being Bayesian about Bayesian Networks

Bayesian statistics: inherent uncertainty in parameters and exploitation of data to update knowledge:

- Uncertain parameters:


Probability distribution $P(X \mid \Theta)$, with $\Theta$ uncertain parameters with probability density $p(\Theta)$

- Assume the Bayesian network structure $G$ comes from a probability distribution, based on data $D$ :

$$
P(G \mid D)
$$

## Research Issues



## Modelling:

- To determine the structure of a network
- Generalisation of networks using logics (e.g. Markov logic networks)


## Learning:

- Structure learning: determine the 'best' graph topology
- Parameter learning: determine the 'best' probability distribution (discrete or continuous)
Inference: increase speed, reduce memory requirements
$\Rightarrow$ you can contribute too $\cdots$

