

# Complexity exercise set #4

for the tutorial on  
May 12, 2022

Exercises marked with an asterisk (\*) may be handed in for grading and can earn you a small bonus<sup>1</sup> on the exam, provided you submit your solutions via Brightspace in PDF before **15:15 on Monday May 16**.

**Exercise 1\* (30 points)** Prove the following

1. If  $A \in \text{NP}$  and  $B \in \text{NP}$ , then  $A \cup B \in \text{NP}$
2. If  $A \in \text{NP}$  and  $B \in \text{NP}$ , then  $A \cap B \in \text{NP}$
3. If  $A \in \text{NP}$  and  $B \in \text{NP}$ , then  $A \cdot B \in \text{NP}$

*Solution.* For each item, suppose we have  $A, B \in \text{NP}$ , we also call the verification algorithms  $A$  and  $B$ .

- We define a verifier for  $A \cup B$  as follows:

```
(A ∪ B)(x, y) :=  
if A(x, y) = 1 then return 1;  
else if B(x, y) = 1 then return 1;  
else return 0;
```

If  $x \in A \cup B$  then there is some  $y$  with  $|y| = O(|x|^d)$  such that  $A(x, y) = 1$  or  $B(x, y) = 1$ , if  $x \notin A \cup B$  there can be no such certificate by definition of the verifiers for  $A$  and  $B$ , so we indeed have a verifier with certificate size polynomial in  $|x|$ . It is a polynomial-time verifier because we run two polynomial-time algorithms consecutively followed by a constant time operation i.e.  $O(|(x, y)|^c + |(x, y)|^d + 1) = O(|(x, y)|^{\max\{c, d\}})$ .

- We define a similar verifier for  $A \cap B$ :

```
(A ∩ B)(x, y) :=  
if A(x, y.1) = 0 then return 0;  
else if B(x, y.2) = 0 then return 0;  
else return 1;
```

Where we interpret  $y$  as a pair  $(y.1, y.2)$ .

For  $x \in A \cap B$ , there are certificates  $y_1, y_2$  such that  $A(x, y_1) = 1$  and  $B(x, y_2) = 1$ , so taking  $y$  to be an encoding (which we can decode in

---

<sup>1</sup>For more details, see <https://cs.ru.nl/~awesterb/teaching/2022/complexity.html>.

polynomial time) of the pair  $(y_1, y_2)$ , we have  $(A \cap B)(x, y) = 1$ . If  $x \notin A \cap B$ , then  $x \notin A$  or  $x \notin B$ , so there can be no certificates for both  $A$  and  $B$ , so also no certificate for  $x$ . We therefore have a verifier with certificate size polynomial in  $|x|$  for  $x \in A \cap B$ . The verifier runs in polynomial time by the same argument as above.

- For  $A \cdot B$ , we take the verifier:

```

(A · B)(x, y) :=
  if x ≠ y.1 · y.2 then return 0;
  if A(y.1, y.3) = 0 then return 0;
  else if B(y.2, y.4) = 0 then return 0;
  else return 1;

```

where we now interpret the input  $y$  as a tuple  $(y.1, y.2, y.3, y.4)$ . Then, by definition,  $x \in A \cdot B$  if and only if there are  $y_1 \in A$  and  $y_2 \in B$  such that  $x = y_1 \cdot y_2$ . By assumption we have certificates  $y_3, y_4$  for  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  with  $|y_3| = O(|y_1|^c)$  and  $|y_4| = O(|y_2|^d)$  if and only if  $y_1 \in A$  and  $y_2 \in B$ . For  $x \in A \cdot B$ , clearly  $|y_1| = O(|x|) = |y_2|$ , so the size of the tuple we construct is polynomial in  $|x|$ . Thus, we can provide a certificate of polynomial size if and only if  $x \in A \cdot B$ . Note that we can check the equality in the first step in  $O(|x|)$  steps, so the algorithm is polynomial by a similar argument to the above.

■

*Grading.* For each item **5 points** are given for the verification algorithm and **5 points** are given for the explanation.

**Exercise 2\* (40 points)** In this exercise,  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary decision problems. Prove the following

1. If  $A \leq_P B$  and  $B$  is in **NP**, then  $A \in \mathbf{NP}$
2. Suppose that we have a set  $B$  and two elements  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*$  such that  $x \in B$  and  $y \notin B$ . If  $A \in \mathbf{P}$ , then  $A \leq_P B$
3.  $A \leq_P \overline{B}$  if and only if  $\overline{A} \leq_P B$

*Solution.* • Suppose  $f$  is the function witnessing the reduction  $A \leq_P B$  and consider the following algorithm:

```

A(x, y) :=
  return B(f(x), y)

```

As  $B \in \mathbf{NP}$ , for  $f(x) \in B$  there is a  $y$  with  $|y| = O(|f(x)|^d)$  such that  $B(f(x), y) = 1$  and by assumption on  $f$ ,  $f(x) \in B \iff x \in A$ . For  $f(x) \notin B \iff x \notin A$  there is no such  $y$ , so we have a verifier for  $A$ . Note also that  $|f(x)| = O(|x|^c)$  as  $f$  is polynomial-time computable, so  $|y|$  is also polynomial in  $|x|$ . The verifier for  $A$  is then polynomial-time computable as  $f$  and  $B$  are by definition/assumption. Thus,  $A \in \mathbf{NP}$ .

- We require a polynomial-time computable function  $f: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that  $s \in A \iff f(s) \in B$ . Consider the following algorithm:

```

f(s) :=
if A(s) = 1 then return x;
else return y;

```

As  $A \in \mathbf{P}$ , we can check  $A(s) = 1$  in time polynomial in  $|s|$ , all other steps can be performed in constant time. Further, we have

$$s \in A \implies A(s) = 1 \implies f(s) = x \implies f(s) \in B$$

and

$$s \notin A \implies A(s) = 0 \implies f(s) = y \implies f(s) \notin B.$$

Thus,  $f$  is of the required form giving  $A \leq_P B$ .

- Suppose  $A \leq_P \bar{B}$ . Then there is a polynomial-time computable function  $f: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that  $x \in A \iff f(x) \in \bar{B}$ . For the same  $f$ , we have

$$x \in \bar{A} \iff x \notin A \iff f(x) \notin \bar{B} \iff f(x) \in B.$$

So,  $\bar{A} \leq_P B$ .

Now suppose  $\bar{A} \leq_P B$  and let  $g: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  be the reducing function. Then

$$x \in A \iff x \notin \bar{A} \iff g(x) \notin B \iff g(x) \in \bar{B}.$$

So,  $A \leq_P \bar{B}$ .

We can also show either implication from the other. For example, if we have shown  $A \leq_P \bar{B} \implies \bar{A} \leq_P B$ , then we can show the converse as follows:

Suppose  $\bar{A} \leq_P B$ , then also  $\bar{A} \leq_P \bar{\bar{B}} = B$ , so by the above implication we have  $A = \bar{\bar{A}} \leq_P \bar{B}$ . ■

*Grading.* For the first two items, **5 points** are given for a correct algorithm and **5 points** for explanation.

For the third item, **10 points** are given for each direction of the implication, with **5 points** for choosing the correct algorithm (the assumed computable function) and **5 points** for the explanation in each case. If the second implication is proven from the first, the full **10 points** is given for a correct explanation.

For each item: if the answer is imprecise, then at most **5 points** are given.

**Exercise 3\* (10 points)** Suppose,  $B \in \mathbf{NP}$  and  $f: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  is computable in polynomial time. Show that  $\{a \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid f(a) \in B\} \in \mathbf{NP}$ .

*Solution.* Define  $X := \{a \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid f(a) \in B\}$  and consider the following algorithm:

```

X(x, y) :=
return B(f(x), y)

```

Now  $x \in X$  if and only if  $f(x) \in B$  by definition. Then, as  $B \in \text{NP}$ ,  $B(f(x), y)$  is polynomial-time computable and there is  $y$  such that  $X(x, y) = B(f(x), y) = 1$  if and only if  $f(x) \in B$ . We have therefore constructed a verifier for  $X$ , so  $X \in \text{NP}$ . ■

*Grading.* Again, **5 points** for a correct algorithm and **5 points** for a correct explanation.

If the answer is imprecise, then at most **5 points** are given

**Exercise 4\* (20 points)** Put the following formulas in CNF

1.  $A \rightarrow ((C \vee \neg B) \wedge A)$
2.  $\neg((C \wedge A) \vee (B \wedge C))$
3.  $(A \vee (B \wedge \neg(B \rightarrow \neg A))) \leftrightarrow \neg A$

Which of these formulas are satisfiable?

*Solution.* • An equivalent CNF formula is:  $(\neg A \vee \neg B \vee C)$ . This is satisfiable (by any assignment making  $A$  or  $B$  false, or  $C$  true).

- An equivalent CNF formula is:  $(\neg C \vee \neg A) \wedge (\neg B \vee \neg C)$ , which is satisfiable (for example, by any assignment making  $C$  false).
- An equivalent CNF formula is:  $A \wedge \neg A$ . This formula is not satisfiable. ■

*Grading.* For each formula:

- **6 points** are given if the correct conjunctive normal form is given.
- **0 points** are given if the incorrect or no conjunctive normal form is given
- If the answer is not in conjunctive normal form, then **0 points** are given.

For the satisfiable formulas:

- **2 points** are given if **all** the correct formulas are denoted as satisfiable.
- **0 points** are given otherwise