
1

Handout for part 2:

Termination: non-termination

Termination

Definition: there is no infinite reduction sequence $s_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_2 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_3 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \dots$

Put differently:

- a **term** s is terminating if every reduction sequence starting in s is finite; i.e., there is no infinite reduction sequence $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t_2 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \dots$
- a **HTRS** is terminating if all its terms are

Definition: a HTRS is **non-terminating** if it has a non-terminating term.

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\rightarrow a \\ a &\rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$

This system is clearly non-terminating, as there is an infinite reduction sequence $a \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} a \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} a \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \dots$

It is also **weakly normalising**; that is, for every term there *exists* a reduction that ends in a normal form. This property is also sometimes studied, but is not the question we consider here.

Proving non-termination

Some ways to prove non-termination:

- Obvious self-loop: $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* s$

$$f(x, F) \rightarrow f(F \cdot 0, \lambda y.x)$$

In this system, we have $f(x, \lambda y.x) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} f((\lambda y.x) \cdot 0, \lambda y.x) \rightarrow_{\beta} f(x, \lambda y.x)$.

- Instantiated self-loop: $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* s\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &\rightarrow g(y, s(x)) \\ g(s(x), y) &\rightarrow f(x, s(y)) \end{aligned}$$

In this system, we have $f(x, s(y)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} g(s(y), s(x)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} f(y, s(s(x))) = f(x, s(y))[x := y, y := s(x)]$.

- General self-loop: $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* C[s\gamma]$

$$f(x, F) \rightarrow s(f(s(x), \lambda y.g(F, y, x)))$$

In this system, we have $f(x, F) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} C[f(x, F)\gamma]$ where $C[\square] = s(\square)$ and $\gamma = [x := s(x), F := \lambda y.g(F, y, x)]$.

- Specialised methods: note the shape of an infinite reduction

$$\begin{aligned} f(s(0), F) &\rightarrow f(0, \lambda y.s(F \cdot y)) \\ f(0, F) &\rightarrow f(F \cdot s(0), F) \end{aligned}$$

In this system, we have $f(0, \lambda x.s^n(x)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* f(s^{n+1}(0), \lambda x.s^n(x)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* f(0, \lambda x.s^{2n+1}(x))$

Finding self-loops

How would you **automatically** detect that the following rule admits a self-loop?

$$f(x, F) \rightarrow s(f(s(x), \lambda y.g(F, y, x)))$$

Idea: for a rule $\ell \rightarrow C[r]$ show that $\ell\gamma\delta = r\gamma$

If this is the case, then $\ell\gamma\delta \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} C\gamma\delta[r\gamma\delta] = D[(\ell\gamma\delta)\delta]$

Note: this is a first-order idea!

The primary higher-order difficulty is extending semi-unification techniques.

But instead of extending first-order non-termination techniques, let us focus on particularly higher-order approach. Recall the first lecture. Without types, we often run into nasty counterexamples for termination. But even with types, we can often reproduce such examples!

Non-termination of the untyped λ -calculus

Recall:

$$(\lambda x.s) \cdot t \rightarrow_{\beta} s[x := t]$$

Self-loop:

- Let $\omega := \lambda x.x \cdot x$.
- Then: $\omega \cdot \omega \rightarrow_{\beta} \omega \cdot \omega$!

As a (simply-typed) HTRS:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda & : [\text{term} \Rightarrow \text{term}] \Rightarrow \text{term} \\ @ & : [\text{term} \times \text{term}] \Rightarrow \text{term} \\ @(\Lambda(F), x) & \rightarrow F \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

Self-loop: Let $\omega := \Lambda(\lambda x.@(x, x))$.

$$@(\omega, \omega) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (\lambda x.@(x, x)) \cdot \omega \rightarrow_{\beta} @(\omega, \omega)$$

The $\omega\omega$ self-loop

$$\underbrace{@(\Lambda(\underbrace{F}_{\text{term} \Rightarrow \text{term}}), \underbrace{x}_{\text{term}})}_{\text{term}} \rightarrow \underbrace{F \cdot x}_{\text{term}}$$

The key danger is that a term of higher type, $F :: \text{term} \Rightarrow \text{term}$, is hidden inside a strictly smaller type, $\text{Lambda}(\dots) :: \text{term}$. The rule takes the function out of the constructor, and then applies it.

Finding $\omega\omega$ elsewhere

A different example:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f} &:: (A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow A \\ \mathbf{g} &:: A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C \\ \mathbf{h} &:: C \Rightarrow C \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{g}(\underbrace{\mathbf{f}(\underbrace{F}_{A \Rightarrow ? \Rightarrow C})}_{A}, y, \underbrace{z}_A) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}(F \cdot z \cdot y)$$

$$\omega = \mathbf{f}(\lambda xy. \mathbf{g}(x, z, x))$$

$$\mathbf{g}(\omega, z, \omega) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{g}(\omega, z, \omega))$$

Not examples

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Lambda} &:: (\text{term} \Rightarrow \text{term}) \Rightarrow \text{term} \\ \mathbf{@} &:: \text{term} \Rightarrow \text{term} \Rightarrow \text{term} \\ \mathbf{c} &:: \text{term} \Rightarrow \text{term} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{@}(\mathbf{\Lambda}(F), x) \rightarrow F \cdot \mathbf{c}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Lambda} &:: (a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow b \\ \mathbf{@} &:: b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \\ \mathbf{@}(\mathbf{\Lambda}(F), x) &\rightarrow F \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

The general shape of $\omega\omega$ occurrences

- Reduction: $C[D[F], x] \rightarrow^* E[F \cdot s_1 \cdots x \cdots s_k]$
- Variables: $F : \sigma_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \sigma_i \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \sigma_k \Rightarrow \tau$ and $x : \sigma_i$
- $C[D[F], x] : \tau$ and $D[F] : \sigma_i$
- F and x do not appear at other positions in C or D
- Then let $\omega := D[\lambda x_1 \dots x_k. C[x_i, x_i]]$

- We have: $C[\omega, \omega] \rightarrow^* E[(\lambda x_1 \dots x_k. C[x_i, x_i]) \cdot \omega] \rightarrow_\beta^* E[C[\omega, \omega]]$

10

Exercises

Construct a (general) self-loop for the following HTRSs:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \mathbf{f} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \\
 \mathbf{g} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \\
 \mathbf{h} \quad :: \quad (\mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{f}(y, \mathbf{h}(F)) \rightarrow F \cdot \mathbf{g}(y) \\
 \mathbf{g}(x) \rightarrow x \\
 \hline
 \mathbf{f} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \\
 \mathbf{g} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c} \\
 \mathbf{h} \quad :: \quad (\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{c} \\
 \mathbf{k} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}(F)), \mathbf{g}(y)) \rightarrow F \cdot y
 \end{array}$$

11

Bonus exercises

Construct a (general) self-loop for the following HTRSs:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \mathbf{f} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow (\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}) \\
 \mathbf{g} \quad :: \quad (\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g}(x)) \rightarrow x \\
 \hline
 \mathbf{f} \quad :: \quad (\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{c} \\
 \mathbf{g} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c} \\
 \mathbf{h} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \\
 \mathbf{k} \quad :: \quad \mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{g}(x), y, \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{f}(F)), z) \rightarrow F \cdot \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{g}(y)) \cdot z \cdot x
 \end{array}$$

12

Nasty example

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \mathbf{map}(F, []) \rightarrow [] \\
 \mathbf{map}(F, \mathbf{cons}(x, y)) \rightarrow \mathbf{cons}(F \cdot x, \mathbf{map}(F, y))
 \end{array}$$

Not terminating if:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 [] \quad :: \quad \mathbf{o} \\
 \mathbf{cons} \quad :: \quad (\mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \\
 \mathbf{map} \quad :: \quad ((\mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{o} \Rightarrow \mathbf{o}
 \end{array}$$

Proof: choose $\omega := \text{cons}(\lambda x_o. \text{map}(\lambda y_{o \Rightarrow o}. \lambda z_o. y_{o \Rightarrow o} \cdot x_o, x_o))$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map}(\lambda y_{o \Rightarrow o}. \lambda z_o. y_{o \Rightarrow o} \cdot \omega, \omega) \\ \rightarrow & \text{cons}(\lambda y. \lambda z. y \cdot \omega) \langle \lambda x. \text{map}(\lambda y. \lambda z. y \cdot x, x) \rangle, \text{map}(\dots)) \\ = & \text{cons}(\lambda z. \langle \lambda x. \text{map}(\lambda y. \lambda z'. y \cdot x, x) \rangle \cdot \omega, \text{map}(\dots)) \\ \rightarrow_{\beta} & \text{cons}(\lambda z. \underline{\text{map}(\lambda y. \lambda z'. y \cdot \omega, \omega)}, \text{map}(\dots)) \end{aligned}$$

(But *is* terminating if $\text{cons} :: (a \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$.)

The actual names of the base types matter! And there are indeed termination methods that exploit this.