

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Termination and Complexity in Higher-Order Term Rewriting

Part 3. Termination:  
the higher-order recursive path ordering

Cynthia Kop

ISR 2024

Reduction ordering  
●○

RPO  
○○○○○○

A higher-order RPO  
○○○○○○○○

Computability  
○○○○

Automation  
○○

# How to prove termination?

# How to prove termination?

1. Embed the rewrite relation  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$  in a well-founded ordering.

# How to prove termination?

1. Embed the rewrite relation  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$  in a well-founded ordering.
2. Pay special attention to function calls  
(Use some form of the *dependency pair framework*.)

# How to prove termination?

1. Embed the rewrite relation  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$  in a well-founded ordering.
2. Pay special attention to function calls  
(Use some form of the *dependency pair framework*.)

# How to prove termination?

1. Embed the rewrite relation  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$  in a well-founded ordering.
2. Pay special attention to function calls  
(Use some form of the *dependency pair framework*.)

To start: we will define a **well-founded ordering**

Reduction ordering  
○●

RPO  
○○○○○

A higher-order RPO  
○○○○○○○

Computability  
○○○○

Automation  
○○

## Embedding $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ in a well-founded ordering

Goal: find a **well-founded ordering**  $\succ$  and prove that  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ .

# Embedding $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ in a well-founded ordering

**Goal:** find a **well-founded ordering**  $\succ$  and prove that  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ .

**Difficulty:** how to prove  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ ?

# Embedding $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ in a well-founded ordering

**Goal:** find a **well-founded ordering**  $\succ$  and prove that  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ .

**Difficulty:** how to prove  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ ?

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

**Needed:**  $\text{add}(0, 0) \succ 0$ ,  $\text{add}(0, \text{add}(x, y)) \succ \text{add}(x, y)$ , ...

# Embedding $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ in a well-founded ordering

**Goal:** find a **well-founded ordering**  $\succ$  and prove that  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ .

**Difficulty:** how to prove  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ ?

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

**Needed:**  $\text{add}(0, 0) \succ 0$ ,  $\text{add}(0, \text{add}(x, y)) \succ \text{add}(x, y)$ , ...

**Solution:** it suffices to orient the **rules** provided:

# Embedding $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ in a well-founded ordering

**Goal:** find a **well-founded ordering**  $\succ$  and prove that  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ .

**Difficulty:** how to prove  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ ?

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

**Needed:**  $\text{add}(0, 0) \succ 0$ ,  $\text{add}(0, \text{add}(x, y)) \succ \text{add}(x, y)$ , ...

**Solution:** it suffices to orient the **rules** provided:

- if  $s \succ t$  then  $s\sigma \succ t\sigma$  for all substitutions  $\sigma$   
(we say:  $\succ$  is **stable**)

# Embedding $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ in a well-founded ordering

**Goal:** find a **well-founded ordering**  $\succ$  and prove that  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ .

**Difficulty:** how to prove  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ ?

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

**Needed:**  $\text{add}(0, 0) \succ 0$ ,  $\text{add}(0, \text{add}(x, y)) \succ \text{add}(x, y)$ , ...

**Solution:** it suffices to orient the **rules** provided:

- if  $s \succ t$  then  $s\sigma \succ t\sigma$  for all substitutions  $\sigma$   
(we say:  $\succ$  is **stable**)
- if  $s \succ t$  then  $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succ f(\dots, t, \dots)$  for all  $f$   
(we say:  $\succ$  is **monotonic**)

# Embedding $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ in a well-founded ordering

**Goal:** find a **well-founded ordering**  $\succ$  and prove that  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ .

**Difficulty:** how to prove  $s \succ t$  whenever  $s \rightarrow t$ ?

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

**Needed:**  $\text{add}(0, 0) \succ 0$ ,  $\text{add}(0, \text{add}(x, y)) \succ \text{add}(x, y)$ , ...

**Solution:** it suffices to orient the **rules** provided:

- if  $s \succ t$  then  $s\sigma \succ t\sigma$  for all substitutions  $\sigma$   
(we say:  $\succ$  is **stable**)
- if  $s \succ t$  then  $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succ f(\dots, t, \dots)$  for all  $f$   
(we say:  $\succ$  is **monotonic**)

Such an ordering is called a **reduction ordering**.

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
●ooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# The (first-order) lexicographic path ordering

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
●ooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# The (first-order) lexicographic path ordering

Let  $\triangleright$  be a **total, well-founded ordering** on the function symbols.

# The (first-order) lexicographic path ordering

Let  $\triangleright$  be a **total, well-founded ordering** on the function symbols.

We define:  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if one of the following holds:

# The (first-order) lexicographic path ordering

Let  $\triangleright$  be a **total, well-founded ordering** on the function symbols.

We define:  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if one of the following holds:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

# The (first-order) lexicographic path ordering

Let  $\triangleright$  be a **total, well-founded ordering** on the function symbols.

We define:  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if one of the following holds:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_j$   
for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

# The (first-order) lexicographic path ordering

Let  $\triangleright$  be a **total, well-founded ordering** on the function symbols.

We define:  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if one of the following holds:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_j$   
for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  
 $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

# LPO example

$\text{add}(0, y) \rightarrow y$   
 $\text{add}(\text{s}(x), y) \rightarrow \text{s}(\text{add}(x, y))$   
 $\text{mul}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$   
 $\text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\ \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\ \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_j$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_j$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$\text{mul}(s(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(\text{s}(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{s}(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright \text{s} \triangleright 0$

- (sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- (copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_j$
- (lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$\text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} y$$

$$\text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{mul}(x, y)$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_j$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$y \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$$

$$\text{mul}(s(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{mul}(x, y)$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

- (sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- (copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_j$
- (lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$\text{mul}(s(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{mul}(x, y)$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(\text{s}(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{s}(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright \text{s} \triangleright 0$

- (sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- (copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_j$
- (lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$\text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$$

$$\text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} y$$

$$\text{s}(x) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(\text{s}(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{s}(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright \text{s} \triangleright 0$

- (sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- (copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_j$
- (lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$\text{s}(x) \succeq_{\text{LPO}} x$$

$$\text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} y$$

$$\text{s}(x) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

- (sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- (copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_j$
- (lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}}$  each  $t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$\text{mul}(s(x), y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} y$$

$$s(x) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_j$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$y \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$$

$$s(x) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$$

# LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_j$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$s(x) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$$

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
o●oooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

## LPO example

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{add}(0, y) & \rightarrow & y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\ \text{mul}(0, y) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \text{mul}(s(x), y) & \rightarrow & \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y)) \end{array}$$

We choose:  $\text{mul} \triangleright \text{add} \triangleright s \triangleright 0$

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m); f \triangleright g; f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_j$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n); s \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{each } t_i; \vec{s}(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} \vec{t};$

We orient the last rule:

$$x \succeq_{\text{LPO}} x$$

# Exercise

Use LPO to prove termination of the well-known **Ackermann function**, defined by:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A(0, x) & \rightarrow & s(x) \\ A(s(x), 0) & \rightarrow & A(x, s(0)) \\ A(s(x), s(y)) & \rightarrow & A(x, A(s(x), y)) \end{array}$$

# Soundness of LPO

## Theorem

If  $\ell \succ_{\text{LPO}} r$  for all rules in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then the TRS with rules  $\mathcal{R}$  is terminating.

# Soundness of LPO

## Theorem

If  $\ell \succ_{\text{LPO}} r$  for all rules in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then the TRS with rules  $\mathcal{R}$  is terminating.

**Proof.**  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$  is:

# Soundness of LPO

## Theorem

If  $\ell \succ_{\text{LPO}} r$  for all rules in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then the TRS with rules  $\mathcal{R}$  is terminating.

**Proof.**  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$  is:

- stable: if  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $s\sigma \succ_{\text{LPO}} t\sigma$

# Soundness of LPO

## Theorem

If  $\ell \succ_{\text{LPO}} r$  for all rules in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then the TRS with rules  $\mathcal{R}$  is terminating.

**Proof.**  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$  is:

- stable: if  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $s\sigma \succ_{\text{LPO}} t\sigma$
- monotonic: if  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succ_{\text{LPO}} f(\dots, t, \dots)$

# Soundness of LPO

## Theorem

If  $\ell \succ_{\text{LPO}} r$  for all rules in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then the TRS with rules  $\mathcal{R}$  is terminating.

**Proof.**  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$  is:

- stable: if  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $s\sigma \succ_{\text{LPO}} t\sigma$
- monotonic: if  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succ_{\text{LPO}} f(\dots, t, \dots)$
- well-founded: there is no infinite decreasing sequence

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooo●o

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Well-foundedness of LPO

# Well-foundedness of LPO

Define:  $s$  is **terminating** if there is no infinite sequence  
 $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_1 \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_2 \succ_{\text{LPO}} \dots$  starting in  $s$ .

# Well-foundedness of LPO

**Define:**  $s$  is **terminating** if there is no infinite sequence  
 $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_1 \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_2 \succ_{\text{LPO}} \dots$  starting in  $s$ .

**Claim:** if  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  terminating, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$ , then  $t$  terminating

# Well-foundedness of LPO

**Define:**  $s$  is **terminating** if there is no infinite sequence  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_1 \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_2 \succ_{\text{LPO}} \dots$  starting in  $s$ .

**Claim:** if  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  terminating, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$ , then  $t$  terminating

**Proof:** by induction on:

- $f$  first (using  $\triangleright$ )
- $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  ordered lexicographically by  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$  second;
- the derivation of  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  third

# Well-foundedness of LPO

**Define:**  $s$  is **terminating** if there is no infinite sequence  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_1 \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_2 \succ_{\text{LPO}} \dots$  starting in  $s$ .

**Claim:** if  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  terminating, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$ , then  $t$  terminating

**Proof:** by induction on:

- $f$  first (using  $\triangleright$ )
- $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  ordered lexicographically by  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$  second;
- the derivation of  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  third

**Conclude:** if there is a smallest non-terminating  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ , then it must be terminating after all!

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
ooooo●

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Extending LPO

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
ooooo●

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Extending LPO

Challenge: mutual recursion

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
ooooo●

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Extending LPO

Challenge: mutual recursion

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(s(x)) \rightarrow g(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \\ g(s(x)) \rightarrow f(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \end{array}$$

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooo●

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Extending LPO

Challenge: mutual recursion

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(s(x)) \rightarrow g(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \\ g(s(x)) \rightarrow f(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \end{array}$$

Solution: allow an **equivalence relation**  $\approx$  compatible with  $\triangleright$ ,  
and set  $f \approx g$

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
ooooo●

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

## Extending LPO

Challenge: mutual recursion

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(s(x)) \rightarrow g(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \\ g(s(x)) \rightarrow f(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \end{array}$$

Solution: allow an **equivalence relation**  $\approx$  compatible with  $\triangleright$ ,  
and set  $f \approx g$

Challenge: argument permutations

# Extending LPO

Challenge: mutual recursion

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(s(x)) \rightarrow g(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \\ g(s(x)) \rightarrow f(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \end{array}$$

Solution: allow an **equivalence relation**  $\approx$  compatible with  $\triangleright$ ,  
and set  $f \approx g$

Challenge: argument permutations

$$f(s(x), y) \rightarrow f(y, x)$$

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
ooooo•

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

## Extending LPO

Challenge: mutual recursion

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(s(x)) \rightarrow g(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \\ g(s(x)) \rightarrow f(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \end{array}$$

Solution: allow an **equivalence relation**  $\approx$  compatible with  $\triangleright$ ,  
and set  $f \approx g$

Challenge: argument permutations

$$f(s(x), y) \rightarrow f(y, x)$$

Solution: allow some function symbols to order arguments  
using the **multiset ordering**

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
ooooo•

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

## Extending LPO

Challenge: mutual recursion

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(s(x)) \rightarrow g(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \\ g(s(x)) \rightarrow f(x) & f(x) \rightarrow s(x) \end{array}$$

Solution: allow an **equivalence relation**  $\approx$  compatible with  $\triangleright$ ,  
and set  $f \approx g$

Challenge: argument permutations

$$f(s(x), y) \rightarrow f(y, x)$$

Solution: allow some function symbols to order arguments  
using the **multiset ordering**

This yields the **recursive path ordering** (RPO).

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
●oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Applying RPO to higher-order systems

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
●oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Applying RPO to higher-order systems

Challenge:  $f(g(x)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$

# Applying RPO to higher-order systems

Challenge:  $f(g(x)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$

Recall: if

$f :: o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$  and

$g :: (o \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$ ,

this is non-terminating!

# Applying RPO to higher-order systems

Challenge:  $f(g(x)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$

Recall: if

$f :: o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$  and

$g :: (o \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o,$

this is non-terminating!

Challenge: how to derive  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} F \cdot x$ ?

# Applying RPO to higher-order systems

Challenge:  $f(g(x)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$

Recall: if

$f :: o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$  and

$g :: (o \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o,$

this is non-terminating!

Challenge: how to derive  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} F \cdot x$ ?

Challenge: do we have  $f(s, t) \succ_{\text{LPO}} @f(s), t$  since  $(s, t)(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}}(s)$ ?

# Applying RPO to higher-order systems

Challenge:  $f(g(x)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} x$

Recall: if

$f :: o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$  and

$g :: (o \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o,$

this is non-terminating!

Challenge: how to derive  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} F \cdot x?$

Challenge: do we have  $f(s, t) \succ_{\text{LPO}} @f(s), t)$  since  $(s, t)(\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}}(s)?$

Conclusion:

- A dedicated higher-order definition is needed.
- Types are important!

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
o●oooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# HOLPO

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}} t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}} t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
o●oooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# HOLPO

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}} t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}} t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

(app)  $t = t_0 \cdot t_1 \cdots t_n$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

(app)  $t = t_0 \cdot t_1 \cdots t_n$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}} t_i$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

(abs)  $t = \lambda x. t'$  and

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

(app)  $t = t_0 \cdot t_1 \cdots t_n$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all  
 $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

(abs)  $t = \lambda x. t'$  and

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^X t$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^X t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  or  $t \in X$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^X t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

(app)  $t = t_0 \cdot t_1 \cdots t_n$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all  
 $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

(abs)  $t = \lambda x. t'$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{X \cup \{x\}} t'$

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$

(@)  $s = s_1 \cdot s_2$ ,  $t = t_1 \cdot t_2$ , each  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t_i$ , some  $s_i \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_i$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  or  $t \in X$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

(app)  $t = t_0 \cdot t_1 \cdots t_n$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all  
 $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

(abs)  $t = \lambda x. t'$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{X \cup \{x\}} t'$

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$

(@)  $s = s_1 \cdot s_2$ ,  $t = t_1 \cdot t_2$ , each  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t_i$ , some  $s_i \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_i$

(lam)  $s = \lambda x.s'$ ,  $t = \lambda x.t'$  and  $s' \succ_{\text{LPO}} t'$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  or  $t \in X$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

(app)  $t = t_0 \cdot t_1 \cdots t_n$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all  
 $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

(abs)  $t = \lambda x.t'$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{X \cup \{x\}} t'$

# HOLPO

- $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  if  $s$  and  $t$  **have the same type** and:

(greater)  $s \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$

(@)  $s = s_1 \cdot s_2$ ,  $t = t_1 \cdot t_2$ , each  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t_i$ , some  $s_i \succ_{\text{LPO}} t_i$

(lam)  $s = \lambda x.s'$ ,  $t = \lambda x.t'$  and  $s' \succ_{\text{LPO}} t'$

(beta)  $s = (\lambda x.s') \cdot u_0 \cdots u_n$  and  $s'[x := u_0] \cdot u_1 \cdots u_n \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$

- $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$  if:

(sub)  $s_i \succeq_{\text{LPO}} t$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  or  $t \in X$

(copy)  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  and  $f \triangleright g$  and

$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

(lex)  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $[s_1, \dots, s_n] (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{lex}} [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ ;

(app)  $t = t_0 \cdot t_1 \cdots t_n$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all  
 $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

(abs)  $t = \lambda x.t'$  and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{X \cup \{x\}} t'$

# Collapsing types in HOLPO

[] : natlist

cons : nat  $\Rightarrow$  natlist  $\Rightarrow$  natlist

map : (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  natlist  $\Rightarrow$  natlist

map( $F$ , [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map( $F$ , cons( $x$ ,  $y$ ))  $\rightarrow$  cons( $F \cdot x$ , map( $F$ ,  $y$ ))

# Collapsing types in HOLPO

[] : natlist

cons : nat  $\Rightarrow$  natlist  $\Rightarrow$  natlist

map : (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  natlist  $\Rightarrow$  natlist

map( $F$ , [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map( $F$ , cons( $x$ ,  $y$ ))  $\rightarrow$  cons( $F \cdot x$ , map( $F$ ,  $y$ ))

Sometimes problematic: Not  $\text{cons}(x, y) \succ y$  due to types!

# Collapsing types in HOLPO

`[]` : natlist

`cons` :  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{natlist} \Rightarrow \text{natlist}$

`map` :  $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{natlist} \Rightarrow \text{natlist}$

`map(F, [])` → `[]`

`map(F, cons(x, y))` → `cons(F · x, map(F, y))`

Sometimes problematic: Not  $\text{cons}(x, y) \succ y$  due to types!

Solution:

`[]` : o

`cons` :  $o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$

`map` :  $(o \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
ooo●oooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
ooo●oooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Example

[] : o

cons : o ⇒ o ⇒ o

map : (o ⇒ o) ⇒ o ⇒ o

map(F, []) → []

map(F, cons(x, y)) → cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}, []$ .

Goal:  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$

# Example

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 [] & : \text{o} \\
 \text{cons} & : \text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o} \\
 \text{map} & : (\text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o}) \Rightarrow \text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o} \\
 \\ 
 \text{map}(F, []) & \rightarrow [] \\
 \text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) & \rightarrow \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))
 \end{array}$$

Choose  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}, []$ .

Goal:  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$

Because (**greater**):

- $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$

# Example

[] : o

cons : o ⇒ o ⇒ o

map : (o ⇒ o) ⇒ o ⇒ o

map(F, []) → []

map(F, cons(x, y)) → cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}, []$ .

Goal:  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$

# Example

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 [] & : \text{o} \\
 \text{cons} & : \text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o} \\
 \text{map} & : (\text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o}) \Rightarrow \text{o} \Rightarrow \text{o}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \text{map}(F, []) & \rightarrow & [] \\
 \text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) & \rightarrow & \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))
 \end{array}$$

Choose  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}, []$ .

Goal:  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$

Because (**copy**):

- $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}$
- $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$
- $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y))$

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F  $\cdot$  x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal 1: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$

Goal 2: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y))$

# Example

`[]` : o

`cons` : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

`map` : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

`map(F, [])`  $\rightarrow$  []

`map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\rightarrow$  `cons(F \cdot x, map(F, y))`

Choose `map`  $\triangleright$  `cons`, [].

Goal 1: `map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$

Goal 2: `map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)$

Because (**lex**):

- $F \succeq_{\text{LPO}} F$
- `cons(x, y)`  $\succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$  (both have type o!)

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal 1: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$

Goal 2: cons(x, y)  $\succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F  $\cdot$  x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal 1: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$

Goal 2: cons(x, y)  $\succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$

Because (**greater**):

- cons(x, y)  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} y$

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal 1: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$

Goal 2: cons(x, y)  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} y$

# Example

$[] : o$   
 $\text{cons} : o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$   
 $\text{map} : (o \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{map}(F, []) & \rightarrow [] \\
 \text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) & \rightarrow \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))
 \end{array}$$

Choose  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}, []$ .

Goal 1:  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$

Goal 2:  $\text{cons}(x, y) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} y$

Because (**sub**):

- $y \succeq y$

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal 1: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset}$  F · x

# Example

`[]` : o

`cons` : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

`map` : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

`map(F, [])`  $\rightarrow$  []

`map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\rightarrow$  `cons(F \cdot x, map(F, y))`

Choose `map`  $\triangleright$  `cons`, [].

Goal: `map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$

Because (app):

- `map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F$
- `map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} x$

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
ooo●oooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal 1: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} x$

Goal 2: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F$

# Example

`[]` : o

`cons` : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

`map` : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

`map(F, [])`  $\rightarrow$  []

`map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\rightarrow$  `cons(F \cdot x, map(F, y))`

Choose `map`  $\triangleright$  `cons`, [].

Goal 1: `map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} x$

Goal 2: `map(F, cons(x, y))`  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F$

Because (**sub**):

- $F \succeq_{\text{LPO}} F$

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal 1: map(F, cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset}$  x

# Example

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 [] : o \\
 \text{cons} : o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \\
 \text{map} : (o \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \text{map}(F, []) & \rightarrow & [] \\
 \text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) & \rightarrow & \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))
 \end{array}$$

Choose  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}, []$ .

Goal:  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^\emptyset x$

Because **(sub)**

- $\text{cons}(x, y) \succeq x$  (both have type o!)

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal: cons(x, y))  $\succeq$  x

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F  $\cdot$  x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal: cons(x, y))  $\succeq$  x

Because (**greater**):

- cons(x, y))  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset}$  x

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal: cons(x, y)  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset}$  x

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F  $\cdot$  x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Goal: cons(x, y)  $\sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset}$  x

Because (**sub**):

- y  $\succeq_{\text{LPO}}$  y

# Example

[] : o

cons : o  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map : (o  $\Rightarrow$  o)  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  o

map(F, [])  $\rightarrow$  []

map(F, cons(x, y))  $\rightarrow$  cons(F · x, map(F, y))

Choose map  $\triangleright$  cons, [].

Nothing left to prove!

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooo●ooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooo●ooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

1.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

1.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(greater)**, 2
2.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

1.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(greater)**, 2
2.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(copy)**,  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}$ , 3, 4
3.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$
4.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)$

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

1.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(greater)**, 2
2.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(copy)**,  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}$ , 3, 4
3.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$
4.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)$   
by **(lex)**,  $F \succeq_{\text{LPO}} F$ , 5 (typecheck: o)
5.  $\text{cons}(x, y) \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

1.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(greater)**, 2
2.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(copy)**,  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}$ , 3, 4
3.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$
4.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)$   
by **(lex)**,  $F \succeq_{\text{LPO}} F$ , 5 (typecheck: o)
5.  $\text{cons}(x, y) \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$   
by **(greater)**, 6
6.  $\text{cons}(x, y) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} y$

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

1.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(greater)**, 2
2.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(copy)**,  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}$ , 3, 4
3.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$
4.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)$   
by **(lex)**,  $F \succeq_{\text{LPO}} F$ , 5 (typecheck: o)
5.  $\text{cons}(x, y) \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$   
by **(greater)**, 6
6.  $\text{cons}(x, y) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} y$   
by **(sub)**,  $y \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$

# How to write down a HOLPO proof?

1.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(greater)**, 2
2.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))$   
by **(copy)**,  $\text{map} \triangleright \text{cons}$ , 3, 4
3.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x$   
by **(app)**, 7, 8
4.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)$   
by **(lex)**,  $F \succeq_{\text{LPO}} F$ , 5 (typecheck: o)
5.  $\text{cons}(x, y) \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$   
by **(greater)**, 6
6.  $\text{cons}(x, y) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} y$   
by **(sub)**,  $y \succeq_{\text{LPO}} y$
7.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F$
8.  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} x$

# Exercise

Orient the following rules using HOLPO:

start ::  $0 \Rightarrow 0$

add ::  $0 \Rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0$

map ::  $(0 \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0$

start( $y$ )  $\rightarrow$  map( $\lambda x_0.\text{add}(x_0, x_0), y$ )

---

a ::  $0$

b ::  $0$

f ::  $((0 \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0$

f( $\lambda x_{0 \Rightarrow 0}.x_{0 \Rightarrow 0} \cdot a$ )  $\rightarrow$  f( $\lambda y_{0 \Rightarrow 0}.y_{0 \Rightarrow 0} \cdot b$ )

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo●o

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Polymorphic HOLPO

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo●o

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# Polymorphic HOLPO

Idea: be creative with the type collapsing!

# Polymorphic HOLPO

Idea: be creative with the type collapsing!

`collapse(list( $\alpha$ )) := collapse( $\alpha$ )` for all types  $\alpha$

`cons1` ::  $\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$

`cons2` ::  $\beta \Rightarrow \beta$

`map` ::  $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$

`map(F $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ , cons1(x $\alpha$ , y $\alpha$ )) → cons2(F $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$  · x $\alpha$ , map(F $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ , y $\alpha$ ))`

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo●

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
oo

# HORPO

(mul)  $s = \textcolor{red}{f}(s_1, \dots, s_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X \textcolor{red}{g}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  if

- $\textcolor{red}{f} \approx \textcolor{red}{g}$
- $\textit{status}(\textcolor{red}{f}) = \textit{mul}_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $m \leq n$
- $\textcolor{red}{f}(s_1, \dots, s_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $\{\{s_1, \dots, s_{\min(k,m)}\}\} (\succ_{\text{LPO}})_{\text{mul}} \{\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}\}$

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
●ooo

Automation  
oo

# Challenge: well-foundedness of HORPO

Recall: well-foundedness proof of RPO:

# Challenge: well-foundedness of HORPO

Recall: well-foundedness proof of RPO:

if  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  terminating, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$ , then  $t$  terminating

# Challenge: well-foundedness of HORPO

Recall: well-foundedness proof of RPO:

if  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  terminating, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$ , then  $t$  terminating

Problem: termination of, e.g.,  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y))$  depends on the behaviour of  $F$ .

# Challenge: well-foundedness of HORPO

Recall: well-foundedness proof of RPO:

if  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  terminating, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$ , then  $t$  terminating

Problem: termination of, e.g.,  $\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y))$  depends on the behaviour of  $F$ .

Example:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{map}(F, []) & \rightarrow & [] \\ \text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) & \rightarrow & \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \\ f \ x & \rightarrow & f \ (s \ x) \end{array}$$

# Solution: computability

## Definition

- a term  $s$  of **base type** is *computable* if  $s$  is terminating  
(under  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$ )

# Solution: computability

## Definition

- a term  $s$  of **base type** is *computable* if  $s$  is terminating (under  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$ )
- a term  $s$  of type  $\sigma \Rightarrow \tau$  is computable if for all computable  $t$  of type  $\sigma$  the term  $s \cdot t$  (of type  $\tau$ ) is also computable

# Solution: computability

## Definition

- a term  $s$  of **base type** is *computable* if  $s$  is terminating (under  $\succ_{\text{LPO}}$ )
- a term  $s$  of type  $\sigma \Rightarrow \tau$  is computable if for all computable  $t$  of type  $\sigma$  the term  $s \cdot t$  (of type  $\tau$ ) is also computable

(This is well-defined by induction on types.)

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oo●o

Automation  
oo

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

1. all variables of type  $\sigma$  are computable

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

1. all variables of type  $\sigma$  are computable
2. every computable term of type  $\sigma$  is terminating

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

1. all variables of type  $\sigma$  are computable
2. every computable term of type  $\sigma$  is terminating
3. if  $s :: \sigma$  is computable and  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $t$  is computable

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

1. all variables of type  $\sigma$  are computable
2. every computable term of type  $\sigma$  is terminating
3. if  $s :: \sigma$  is computable and  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $t$  is computable

Proof:

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

1. all variables of type  $\sigma$  are computable
2. every computable term of type  $\sigma$  is terminating
3. if  $s :: \sigma$  is computable and  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $t$  is computable

Proof: by induction on  $\sigma$

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

1. all variables of type  $\sigma$  are computable
2. every computable term of type  $\sigma$  is terminating
3. if  $s :: \sigma$  is computable and  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $t$  is computable

Proof: by **shared** induction on  $\sigma$

# Properties of computability

Claim: for all types  $\sigma$ :

1. all variables of type  $\sigma$  are computable
2. every computable term of type  $\sigma$  is terminating
3. if  $s :: \sigma$  is computable and  $s \succ_{\text{LPO}} t$  then  $t$  is computable

Proof: by **shared** induction on  $\sigma$  (class exercise)

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
ooo●

Automation  
oo

# Soundness of HORPO

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
ooo●

Automation  
oo

# Soundness of HORPO

Main proof ideas:

# Soundness of HORPO

Main proof ideas:

- if  $s[x := t]$  is computable for all computable  $t$ , then  $\lambda x.s$  computable

# Soundness of HORPO

Main proof ideas:

- if  $s[x := t]$  is computable for all computable  $t$ , then  $\lambda x.s$  computable
- if  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  computable, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$ ,

# Soundness of HORPO

Main proof ideas:

- if  $s[x := t]$  is computable for all computable  $t$ , then  $\lambda x.s$  computable
- if  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  computable, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$ , then  $t[\vec{x} := \vec{u}]$  is computable for all computable  $\vec{u}$

# Soundness of HORPO

## Main proof ideas:

- if  $s[x := t]$  is computable for all computable  $t$ , then  $\lambda x.s$  computable
- if  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  computable, and  $f(s_1, \dots, s_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$ , then  $t[\vec{x} := \vec{u}]$  is computable for all computable  $\vec{u}$   
(by induction first on  $f$ ,  
then on  $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$  ordered with  $\text{status}(f)$ ,  
and finally on the derivation of  $f(s_1, \dots, s_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^X t$ )

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
●○

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
●○

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

Needed:

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
●○

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

Needed: status

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
●○

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

Needed: status, precedence

Reduction ordering  
oo

RPO  
oooooo

A higher-order RPO  
oooooooo

Computability  
oooo

Automation  
●○

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

**Needed:** status, precedence, which clause to apply when

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

**Needed:** status, precedence, which clause to apply when

**Strategy:** use existing SAT or SMT solvers!

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

**Needed:** status, precedence, which clause to apply when

**Strategy:** use existing SAT or SMT solvers!

**Idea:**

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

**Needed:** status, precedence, which clause to apply when

**Strategy:** use existing SAT or SMT solvers!

**Idea:**

- for each function symbol: an **integer value** for the precedence

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

**Needed:** status, precedence, which clause to apply when

**Strategy:** use existing SAT or SMT solvers!

**Idea:**

- for each function symbol: an **integer value** for the precedence
- for each function symbol: an **integer value** for the status

# Implementing automatic HORPO proof search

**Needed:** status, precedence, which clause to apply when

**Strategy:** use existing SAT or SMT solvers!

**Idea:**

- for each function symbol: an **integer value** for the precedence
- for each function symbol: an **integer value** for the status
- for each HORPO relation we encounter: a **boolean variable**

# Example: encoding proof search for map

Formula:

- $v_1$

Variables:

- $v_1 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$

# Example: encoding proof search for map

Formula:

- $v_1$
- $(v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \vee v_3 \vee v_4 \vee v_5 \vee v_6)$

Variables:

- $v_1 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_2 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (sub)"}$
- $v_3 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (copy)"}$

# Example: encoding proof search for map

Formula:

- $v_1$
- $(v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \vee v_3 \vee v_4 \vee v_5 \vee v_6)$
- $(v_2 \rightarrow v_7 \vee v_8)$

Variables:

- $v_1 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_2 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (sub)"}$
- $v_3 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (copy)"}$
- $v_7 \equiv "F \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_8 \equiv "\text{cons}(x, y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$

# Example: encoding proof search for map

Formula:

- $v_1$
- $(v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \vee v_3 \vee v_4 \vee v_5 \vee v_6) \wedge$
- $(v_2 \rightarrow v_7 \vee v_8) \wedge$
- $(v_3 \rightarrow (\text{prec}_{\text{map}} > \text{prec}_{\text{cons}} \wedge v_9 \wedge v_{10})) \wedge$

Variables:

- $v_1 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_2 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (sub)"}$
- $v_3 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (copy)"}$
- $v_7 \equiv "F \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_8 \equiv "\text{cons}(x, y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_9 \equiv "\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x"$
- $v_{10} \equiv "\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)"$

# Example: encoding proof search for map

Formula:

- $v_1$
- $(v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \vee v_3 \vee v_4 \vee v_5 \vee v_6) \wedge$
- $(v_2 \rightarrow v_7 \vee v_8) \wedge$
- $(v_3 \rightarrow (\text{prec}_{\text{map}} > \text{prec}_{\text{cons}} \wedge v_9 \wedge v_{10})) \wedge$
- ...

Variables:

- $v_1 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_2 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (sub)"}$
- $v_3 \equiv \text{"map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y)) \text{ (copy)"}$
- $v_7 \equiv "F \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_8 \equiv "\text{cons}(x, y) \succ_{\text{LPO}} \text{cons}(F \cdot x, \text{map}(F, y))"$
- $v_9 \equiv "\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} F \cdot x"$
- $v_{10} \equiv "\text{map}(F, \text{cons}(x, y)) \sqsupset_{\text{LPO}}^{\emptyset} \text{map}(F, y)"$