

# Mix-automatic sequences

Hans Zantema

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven and Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen

MFOCS seminar, September 18, 2020

# Infinite sequences

# Infinite sequences

An infinite sequence  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$  is defined as a mapping from  $\mathbb{N}$  to some set  $\Gamma$

# Infinite sequences

An infinite sequence  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$  is defined as a mapping from  $\mathbb{N}$  to some set  $\Gamma$

The simplest are *periodic*, that is, of the shape  $v^\omega$  for  $v \in \Gamma^+$

# Infinite sequences

An infinite sequence  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$  is defined as a mapping from  $\mathbb{N}$  to some set  $\Gamma$

The simplest are *periodic*, that is, of the shape  $v^\omega$  for  $v \in \Gamma^+$

Then if  $v = v_0 v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$ , then  $a_i = v_{i \bmod n}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$

# Infinite sequences

An infinite sequence  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$  is defined as a mapping from  $\mathbb{N}$  to some set  $\Gamma$

The simplest are *periodic*, that is, of the shape  $v^\omega$  for  $v \in \Gamma^+$

Then if  $v = v_0 v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$ , then  $a_i = v_{i \bmod n}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$

Adding a finite string in front of a periodic sequence yields an *ultimately periodic sequence*, so is of the shape  $uv^\omega$  for  $u, v \in \Gamma^+$

How to give simple definitions of infinite sequences that are *not* ultimately periodic?

How to give simple definitions of infinite sequences that are *not* ultimately periodic?

An interesting and well-studied class of such sequences are  
 *$k$ -automatic sequences*



How to give simple definitions of infinite sequences that are *not* ultimately periodic?

An interesting and well-studied class of such sequences are *k-automatic sequences*

We will focus on 2-automatic sequences; it has several equivalent characterizations

How to give simple definitions of infinite sequences that are *not* ultimately periodic?

An interesting and well-studied class of such sequences are *k-automatic sequences*

We will focus on 2-automatic sequences; it has several equivalent characterizations

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $(i)_2$  for the binary notation of  $i$

How to give simple definitions of infinite sequences that are *not* ultimately periodic?

An interesting and well-studied class of such sequences are *k-automatic sequences*

We will focus on 2-automatic sequences; it has several equivalent characterizations

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $(i)_2$  for the binary notation of  $i$

$$(0)_2 = \epsilon, (1)_2 = 1, (2)_2 = 10, (23)_2 = 10111$$

How to give simple definitions of infinite sequences that are *not* ultimately periodic?

An interesting and well-studied class of such sequences are *k-automatic sequences*

We will focus on 2-automatic sequences; it has several equivalent characterizations

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $(i)_2$  for the binary notation of  $i$

$$(0)_2 = \epsilon, (1)_2 = 1, (2)_2 = 10, (23)_2 = 10111$$

### Definition

$a \in \Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$  is 2-automatic if the language  $\{(i)_2 \mid a_i = x\}$  is regular for every  $x \in \Gamma$

How to give simple definitions of infinite sequences that are *not* ultimately periodic?

An interesting and well-studied class of such sequences are *k-automatic sequences*

We will focus on 2-automatic sequences; it has several equivalent characterizations

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $(i)_2$  for the binary notation of  $i$

$$(0)_2 = \epsilon, (1)_2 = 1, (2)_2 = 10, (23)_2 = 10111$$

### Definition

$a \in \Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$  is 2-automatic if the language  $\{(i)_2 \mid a_i = x\}$  is regular for every  $x \in \Gamma$

So  $a \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is 2-automatic if  $\{(i)_2 \mid a_i = 1\}$  is regular

# Example: Thue-Morse

# Example: Thue-Morse

The Thue-Morse sequence  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by  $m_i = 1$  iff  $(i)_2$  contains an odd number of ones

# Example: Thue-Morse

The Thue-Morse sequence  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by  $m_i = 1$  iff  $(i)_2$  contains an odd number of ones

$$(0)_2 = \epsilon, \text{ so } m_0 = 0$$

$$(1)_2 = 1, \text{ so } m_1 = 1$$

$$(2)_2 = 10, \text{ so } m_2 = 1$$

$$(3)_2 = 11, \text{ so } m_3 = 0$$



# Example: Thue-Morse

The Thue-Morse sequence  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by  $m_i = 1$  iff  $(i)_2$  contains an odd number of ones

$$(0)_2 = \epsilon, \text{ so } m_0 = 0$$

$$(1)_2 = 1, \text{ so } m_1 = 1$$

$$(2)_2 = 10, \text{ so } m_2 = 1$$

$$(3)_2 = 11, \text{ so } m_3 = 0$$

$$m = 0110100110010110 \dots$$

# Example: Thue-Morse

The Thue-Morse sequence  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by  $m_i = 1$  iff  $(i)_2$  contains an odd number of ones

$$(0)_2 = \epsilon, \text{ so } m_0 = 0$$

$$(1)_2 = 1, \text{ so } m_1 = 1$$

$$(2)_2 = 10, \text{ so } m_2 = 1$$

$$(3)_2 = 11, \text{ so } m_3 = 0$$

$$m = 0110100110010110 \dots$$

The set of strings with an odd number of ones is regular, so  $m$  is 2-automatic

Alternative definition:

Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

$f(f((0))) = 0110$

Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

$f(f((0))) = 0110$

$f(f(f((0)))) = 01101001$

Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

$f(f((0))) = 0110$

$f(f(f((0)))) = 01101001$

$f(f(f(f((0)))))) = 0110100110010110$

Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

$f(f((0))) = 0110$

$f(f(f((0)))) = 01101001$

$f(f(f(f((0)))))) = 0110100110010110$

$m = f^\omega(0)$



Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

$f(f((0))) = 0110$

$f(f(f((0)))) = 01101001$

$f(f(f(f((0)))))) = 0110100110010110$

$m = f^\omega(0)$

More general, if  $f(x) = xu$  then  $f^\omega(x) = xuf(u)f^2(u)f^3(u)\dots$

Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

$f(f((0))) = 0110$

$f(f(f((0)))) = 01101001$

$f(f(f(f((0)))))) = 0110100110010110$

$m = f^\omega(0)$

More general, if  $f(x) = xu$  then  $f^\omega(x) = xuf(u)f^2(u)f^3(u)\dots$

### Theorem

$a \in \Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$  is 2-automatic if and only if there exists  $\Delta$ ,  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^2$ ,  $x \in \Delta$ ,  $f(x) = xu$ ,  $\tau : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = \tau(f^\omega(x))$

Alternative definition:

define  $f(0) = 01$ ,  $f(1) = 10$

$f(f((0))) = 0110$

$f(f(f((0)))) = 01101001$

$f(f(f(f((0)))))) = 0110100110010110$

$m = f^\omega(0)$

More general, if  $f(x) = xu$  then  $f^\omega(x) = xuf(u)f^2(u)f^3(u)\dots$

### Theorem

$a \in \Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$  is 2-automatic if and only if there exists  $\Delta$ ,  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^2$ ,  $x \in \Delta$ ,  $f(x) = xu$ ,  $\tau : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = \tau(f^\omega(x))$

In words: *morphic* with respect to a *2-uniform* morphism

# The kernel

# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

$$\text{even}(a) = a_0 a_2 a_4 \cdots, \quad \text{odd}(a) = a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots$$

# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

$$\text{even}(a) = a_0 a_2 a_4 \cdots, \quad \text{odd}(a) = a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots$$

The 2-kernel  $K_2(a)$  is the smallest set of sequences such that

# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

$$\text{even}(a) = a_0 a_2 a_4 \cdots, \quad \text{odd}(a) = a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots$$

The 2-kernel  $K_2(a)$  is the smallest set of sequences such that

- $a \in K_2(a)$



# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

$$\text{even}(a) = a_0 a_2 a_4 \cdots, \quad \text{odd}(a) = a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots$$

The 2-kernel  $K_2(a)$  is the smallest set of sequences such that

- $a \in K_2(a)$
- if  $b \in K_2(a)$ , then  $\text{even}(b) \in K_2(a)$  and  $\text{odd}(b) \in K_2(a)$

# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

$$\text{even}(a) = a_0 a_2 a_4 \cdots, \quad \text{odd}(a) = a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots$$

The 2-kernel  $K_2(a)$  is the smallest set of sequences such that

- $a \in K_2(a)$
- if  $b \in K_2(a)$ , then  $\text{even}(b) \in K_2(a)$  and  $\text{odd}(b) \in K_2(a)$

$$\text{even}(m) = m, \text{ odd}(m) = \overline{m}, \text{ even}(\overline{m}) = \overline{m}, \text{ odd}(\overline{m}) = m$$

# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

$$\text{even}(a) = a_0 a_2 a_4 \cdots, \quad \text{odd}(a) = a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots$$

The 2-kernel  $K_2(a)$  is the smallest set of sequences such that

- $a \in K_2(a)$
- if  $b \in K_2(a)$ , then  $\text{even}(b) \in K_2(a)$  and  $\text{odd}(b) \in K_2(a)$

$$\text{even}(m) = m, \text{ odd}(m) = \overline{m}, \text{ even}(\overline{m}) = \overline{m}, \text{ odd}(\overline{m}) = m$$

$$\text{So } K_2(m) = \{m, \overline{m}\}$$

# The kernel

For a sequence  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $a = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ , we define

$$\text{even}(a) = a_0 a_2 a_4 \cdots, \quad \text{odd}(a) = a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots$$

The 2-kernel  $K_2(a)$  is the smallest set of sequences such that

- $a \in K_2(a)$
- if  $b \in K_2(a)$ , then  $\text{even}(b) \in K_2(a)$  and  $\text{odd}(b) \in K_2(a)$

$$\text{even}(m) = m, \text{ odd}(m) = \overline{m}, \text{ even}(\overline{m}) = \overline{m}, \text{ odd}(\overline{m}) = m$$

$$\text{So } K_2(m) = \{m, \overline{m}\}$$

## Theorem

*A sequence  $a$  is 2-automatic if and only if  $K_2(a)$  is finite*

# The number $k$

# The number $k$

A theorem from Cobham states that the ultimately periodic sequences are the only that are both 2-automatic and 3-automatic

# The number $k$

A theorem from Cobham states that the ultimately periodic sequences are the only that are both 2-automatic and 3-automatic

But one can think of sequences that have both a 2-periodic and a 3-periodic flavor

# The number $k$

A theorem from Cobham states that the ultimately periodic sequences are the only that are both 2-automatic and 3-automatic

But one can think of sequences that have both a 2-periodic and a 3-periodic flavor

*Mix-automatic sequences* form a proper extension of the class of automatic sequences



# The number $k$

A theorem from Cobham states that the ultimately periodic sequences are the only that are both 2-automatic and 3-automatic

But one can think of sequences that have both a 2-periodic and a 3-periodic flavor

*Mix-automatic sequences* form a proper extension of the class of automatic sequences

They arise from a generalization of finite state automata where the input alphabet is state-dependent

This has been studied in a paper by Endrullis, Grabmayer, and Hendriks, published in LATA 2013:

This has been studied in a paper by Endrullis, Grabmayer, and Hendriks, published in LATA 2013:

Joerg Endrullis, Clemens Grabmayer and Dimitri Hendriks  
title: "Mix-Automatic Sequences"

Conference: Language and Automata Theory and Applications  
(LATA), 2013

publisher Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pages="262–274"

This has been studied in a paper by Endrullis, Grabmayer, and Hendriks, published in LATA 2013:

Joerg Endrullis, Clemens Grabmayer and Dimitri Hendriks

title: "Mix-Automatic Sequences"

Conference: Language and Automata Theory and Applications (LATA), 2013

publisher Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pages="262–274"

The seminar project is to study this paper

This has been studied in a paper by Endrullis, Grabmayer, and Hendriks, published in LATA 2013:

Joerg Endrullis, Clemens Grabmayer and Dimitri Hendriks  
title: "Mix-Automatic Sequences"

Conference: Language and Automata Theory and Applications  
(LATA), 2013

publisher Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pages="262–274"

The seminar project is to study this paper

A more recent LATA paper (Z 2020), joined work with Wieb Bosma, studies *complexity* of an automatic sequence: the size of the corresponding automaton

This has been studied in a paper by Endrullis, Grabmayer, and Hendriks, published in LATA 2013:

Joerg Endrullis, Clemens Grabmayer and Dimitri Hendriks

title: "Mix-Automatic Sequences"

Conference: Language and Automata Theory and Applications (LATA), 2013

publisher Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pages="262–274"

The seminar project is to study this paper

A more recent LATA paper (Z 2020), joined work with Wieb Bosma, studies *complexity* of an automatic sequence: the size of the corresponding automaton

The seminar project also may involve observations on complexity of mix-automatic sequences