Lambda-Calculus and Type Theory ISR 2024 Obergurgl, Austria Herman Geuvers & Niels van der Weide Radboud University Nijmegen NL Exercises Day 3

## Lecture 6. Polymorphic types

1. Recall:  $\bot := \forall \alpha \ldotp \alpha, \top := \forall \alpha \ldotp \alpha \to \alpha.$ 

- (a) Verify that in Church  $\lambda 2: \lambda x: \top x \top x: \top \to \top$ .
- (b) Verify that in Curry  $\lambda 2: \lambda x. x x : \top \rightarrow \top$
- (c) Find a type in Curry  $\lambda 2$  for  $\lambda x. x x x$
- (d) Find a type in Curry  $\lambda 2$  for  $\lambda x \cdot (x \cdot x)(x \cdot x)$
- (e) Find a type in Curry  $\lambda$ 2 for  $\lambda z. z(\lambda x. x x)$
- 2. Let  $x : \top$  and remember that  $\top := \forall \alpha : \cdot \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ .
	- (a) Give a type to the term

$$
\lambda y. x y \; x(\lambda z. z \, x \, z)
$$

in  $\lambda$ 2 à la Curry and give the typing derivation of your result.

(b) Give a type to the term

$$
\lambda y. x y (x(\lambda z. z z))
$$

in  $\lambda$ 2 à la Curry. Also give the typing derivation of your result.

3. Define:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\sigma \times \tau & := & \forall \alpha. (\sigma \to \tau \to \alpha) \to \alpha, \\
\sigma + \tau & := & \forall \alpha. (\sigma \to \alpha) \to (\tau \to \alpha) \to \alpha\n\end{array}
$$

- (a) Define inl :  $\sigma \to \sigma + \tau$
- (b) Define pairing :  $[-,-]: \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma \times \tau$
- (c) Define the first projection :  $\pi_1 : \sigma \times \tau \to \sigma$  and show that  $\pi_1[x, y] =_{\beta}$  $x$ .
- 4. Define the type of binary tress with leaves in B and node labels in A:

Tree  $\Delta_B := \forall \alpha. (B \to \alpha) \to (A \to \alpha \to \alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha$ .

- (a) Define leaf :  $B \to \text{Tree}_{A,B}$  and join :  $\text{Tree}_{A,B} \to \text{Tree}_{A,B} \to A \to$  $Tree_{A,B}.$
- (b) Give the Tree-iteration scheme for Tree<sub>A,B</sub> and define  $h: \text{Tree}_{A,B} \rightarrow$ Nat that counts the number of leaves of a tree.
- (c) Define  $g: \text{Tree}_{A,B} \to B$  that computes the left-most leaf of a tree.

## Lecture 7. Higher order logic in the Calculus of constructions and in Coq

NB. The exercises can also be made with Coq. Please look at the web site for the HOL inductivetypes.v file.

1. Definition in CC (for  $t, q : A$ ):

$$
t =_A q := \Pi P : A \to *, (Pt \to Pq)
$$

- (a) (basic) Prove that this equality is reflexive by giving a term of type  $\Pi x : A x = A x$ .
- (b) (basic) Prove that this equality is transitive by giving a term of type  $\Pi x, y, z : A. x =_A y \rightarrow y =_A z \rightarrow x =_A z.$
- (c) (advanced) Prove that this equality is symmetric by giving a term of the type  $\Pi x, y : A. x =_A y \rightarrow y =_A x$ .
- 2. The transitive closure of a binary relation  $R$  on  $A$  has been defined as follows.

trclos 
$$
R := \lambda x, y : A.
$$
  
\n $(\forall Q : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow * . (\text{trans } Q \rightarrow (R \subseteq Q) \rightarrow (Q x y))).$ 

- (a) (basic) Prove by giving a proof-term that the transitive closure of R contains R.
- (b) (medium) Prove by giving a proof-term that the transitive closure is transitive.
- (c) (basic) Prove by giving a proof-term that, if  $P$  is transitive and  $P$  contains  $R$ , then  $P$  contains trclos  $R$ .
- 3. The existential quantifier has been defined by

$$
\exists x : \sigma. \phi := \forall \alpha : * . (\forall x : \sigma. \phi \to \alpha) \to \alpha
$$

- (a) (medium) Given  $t : \sigma$  and  $q : Pt$ , give a term M such that  $M : \exists x :$ σ. P x
- (b) (medium) Given  $q : \exists x : \sigma.Px$  and  $h : \forall y : \sigma.Py \rightarrow C$  with  $y \notin$  $FV(C)$ , give a term N of type C.
- 4. For  $D: \ast, A, B: D \to \ast$ , we define  $A \subseteq B$  as  $\forall x: D \colon A \times B \to B \times$ . We now define

$$
A \cap B := \lambda x : D. \forall P : D \to *. (\forall y : D. A y \to B y \to P y) \to P x
$$
  

$$
A \cup B := \lambda x : D. \forall P : D \to *. A \subseteq P \to B \subseteq P \to P x
$$

Prove the following, by giving a (proof) term of the type. Remember that  $X \vee Y$  is defined as  $\forall \alpha : * (X \to \alpha) \to (Y \to \alpha) \to \alpha$ .

- (a)  $A \subseteq A \cup B$ .
- (b) (This is a hard question)  $\forall x : D$ .  $(A \cup B) x \rightarrow Ax \vee B x$ .
- (c)  $A \cap B \subseteq A$ .
- (d)  $\forall x : D. A x \rightarrow B x \rightarrow (A \cap B) x.$